Interview Summary	Application	No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/917,874		KUROKI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Kaj Olsen		1753	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Kaj Olsen</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Joseph Ruch</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 09 February 2003.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∐ applican	t's representative	l	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6-8,10,12 and 14</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:		.*		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)∐ was not ı	reachedh)□ N/	/ A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant's representative agreed to the enclosed amendment</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no c allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the am			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
			,	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signa	ture if required	
		_, 5 5igna	u roquireu	